

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
BEAUFORT DIVISION

Samuel Stokes, #285333,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	C.A. No. 9:07-1704-HMH-GCK
	)	
vs.	)	<b>OPINION &amp; ORDER</b>
	)	
Warden Bazzle; Associate Warden	)	
Clayton; J. Rutlege (RN); Mrs. Enloe,	)	
(RN); and Dr. Lewis (Doctor),	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

This matter is before the court with the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge George C. Kosko, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (West Supp. 2006) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 of the District of South Carolina. Samuel Stokes (“Stokes”), a state prisoner proceeding pro se, alleges various violations to his civil rights under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. his Report and Recommendation, Magistrate Judge Kosko recommends dismissing the case without prejudice and without issuance and service of process because Stokes did not exhaust his administrative remedies.

Stokes filed objections to the Report and Recommendation. Objections to the Report and Recommendation must be specific. Failure to file specific objections constitutes a waiver of a party’s right to further judicial review, including appellate review, if the recommendation is accepted by the district judge. See United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91, 94 & n.4 (4th Cir. 1984). In the absence of specific objections to the Report and Recommendation of the

Magistrate Judge, this court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

Upon review, the court finds that Stokes' objections are non-specific, unrelated to the dispositive portions of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, or merely restate his claims. Therefore, after a thorough review of the Magistrate Judge's Report and the record in this case, the court adopts Magistrate Judge Kosko's Report and Recommendation.

Therefore, it is

**ORDERED** that Stokes' case is dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/Henry M. Herlong, Jr.  
United States District Judge

Greenville, South Carolina  
July 16, 2007

#### **NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL**

Plaintiff is hereby notified that he has the right to appeal this order within thirty (30) days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.